Discussion. The final atomic parameters are listed in Table 1.[†] Bond lengths and angles are shown in Table 2. The crystal structure is shown in Figs. 1 and 2.

The structure shown in Fig. 1 was induced by the second-order phase transition through the loss of the mirror plane m_x which exists in phase I. Three kinds of displacements are relevant for each tetrahedral ion: a translation along [100], a rotation on an axis along [010] and that on an axis along [001]. For the less-distorted ZnI₄ tetrahedron, values of the displacements were estimated to be 0.089 (4) Å, 4.2 (1)° and $1 \cdot 1 (1)^{\circ}$ respectively. For the first value, the displacement of Zn was taken, the second was defined as the *b* projection of the angle between the I(1)—Zn direction and the c^* direction, and the third the c projection of the angle between the I(3)-I(4)direction and [100]. The displacements of two kinds of $N(CH_3)_4$ tetrahedra were not estimated because of their large distortion. The characteristic feature in Fig. 1 is the counterclockwise rotations of all ions along [010]. This feature has been reported in $[N(CH_3)_4]_2ZnBr_4$ (Asahi et al., 1988), [N(CH₃)₄]₂MnBr₄ (Hasebe, Asahi & Gesi, 1990) and [N(CH₃)₄]₂MnCl₄ (Mashiyama & Koshiji, 1989). As

is seen from Figs. 1 and 2, rotational displacements of $N(CH_3)_4$ tetrahedra are larger than those of ZnI_4 tetrahedra. This result is in contrast with that in phase III reported by Werk, Chapuis & Perret (1987).

The thermal parameters of the C atoms are very large. It suggests the possibility of disorder in $N(CH_3)_4$ ions in phase I. A study of the structure in phase I is now in progress in order to investigate the mechanism of the phase transition.

References

- Asahi, T., Hasebe, K. & Gesi, K. (1988). J. Phys. Soc. Jpn, 57, 4219-4224.
- GESI, K. (1986). Ferroelectrics, 66, 269-286.
- GESI, K. & PERRET, R. (1988). J. Phys. Soc. Jpn, 57, 3698-3701.
- HASEBE, K., ASAHI, T. & GESI, K. (1990). Acta Cryst. C. In the press.
- HASEBE, K., MASHIYAMA, H., TANISAKI, S. & GESI, K. (1982). J. Phys. Soc. Jpn, 51, 1045–1046.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Vol. IV. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.)

MASHIYAMA, H. & KOSHIJI, N. (1989). Acta Cryst. B45 467-473.

- SAKURAI, T. & KOBAYASHI, K. (1979). Rep. Inst. Chem. Res. 55, 69–77.
- SAWADA, S., SHIROISHI, Y., YAMAMOTO, A., TAKASHIGE, M. & MATSUO, M. (1978). *Phys. Lett. A*, 67, 56–58.
- WERK, M. L. & CHAPUIS, G. (1988). Z. Kristallogr. 182, 265–266.
 WERK, M. L., CHAPUIS, G. & PERRET, G. (1987). Z. Kristallogr.
 178, 215.
- WERK, M. L., CHAPUIS, G. & SCHMID, H. (1987). Phase Transitions, 8, 339-340.
- WIESNER, J. R., SRIVASTAVA, R. C., KENNARD, C. H. L., DIVAIRA, M. & LINGAFELTER, E. C. (1967). Acta Cryst. 23, 565–574.

Acta Cryst. (1990). C46, 220-223

Structures of the Conducting Salts of Ethylenedithiotetrathiafulvalene (EDTTTF) and Methylenedithiotetrathiafulvalene (MDTTTF): $(EDTTTF)I_3$ and $(MDTTTF)I_3$

BY A. HOUNTAS AND A. TERZIS

Institute of Materials Science, NRC 'Demokritos', Aghia Paraskevi Attikis, 153 10 Athens, Greece

G. C. PAPAVASSILIOU

Theoretical and Physical Chemistry Institute, National Hellenic Research Foundation, 48 Vassileos Constantinou Ave., 116 35 Athens, Greece

AND B. HILTI AND J. PFEIFFER

Central Research Laboratories, Ciba-Geigy AG, CH-4002 Basel, Switzerland

(Received 27 February 1989; accepted 19 May 1989)

Abstract. (I): 2-(2-Dithiolylidene)-5,6-dihydrodithiolo[4,5-b]dithiinium triiodide, $C_8H_6S_6^+$. I_3^- , $M_r = 675.2$, monoclinic, $P2_1/c$, a = 15.307 (2), b = 9.622 (1), c = 12.013 (1) Å, $\beta = 110.69$ (1)°, V = 0.622

 $\begin{array}{ll} f_r = & \text{Mo } K\overline{\alpha}, \ \lambda = 0.71069 \text{ Å}, \ \mu = 62.80 \text{ cm}^{-1}, \ F(000) = \\ b = & 1228, \ T = 296 \ (2) \text{ K}. \ (II) & 2-(2-\text{Dithiolylidene}) - \\ V = & \text{dithiolo}[4,5-d] \text{dithiolium triiodide, } C_7 \text{H}_4 \text{S}_6^+.\text{I}_3^-, \ M_r = \end{array}$

0108-2701/90/020220-04\$03.00

© 1990 International Union of Crystallography

1655.0 (4) Å³, Z = 4, $D_m = 2.74$, $D_x = 2.709$ g cm⁻³,

[†] Lists of structure factors and anisotropic thermal parameters have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 52350 (11 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

661·2, monoclinic, $P2_1/c$, a = 14.583 (2), b = 9.350 (1), c = 11.995 (1) Å, $\beta = 107.76$ (1)°, V = 1557.5 (4) Å³, Z = 4, $D_m = 2.86$, $D_x = 2.820$ g cm⁻³, Mo K $\overline{\alpha}$, $\lambda = 0.71069$ Å, $\mu = 66.73$ cm⁻¹, F(000) = 1204, T = 296 (2) K. Final R values are 0.0374 (I) and 0.0301 (II) for 1774 and 1920 observed [$F_o \ge 5.0\sigma(F_o)$] reflections. The two salts are isostructural. The packing comprises sheets of donors with strong S…S contacts separated by half of the I₃⁻ ions. The remaining I₃⁻ ions are embedded in the sheets, thus preventing the formation of donor stacks. The salts are semiconductors with a tendency towards temperature-independent conductivity above room temperature.

Introduction. Molecular crystals based on multichalcogen π -donor molecules have attracted considerable interest in the field of organic metals and superconductors (Williams & Carneiro, 1986; Ishiguro, 1988). A large number of salts of these donors with a variety of anions such as I_3^- , IBr_2^- and AuI₂ have been synthesized and structurally characterized. Until recently only salts of the symmetrical BEDTTTF (1) and TMTSF (2) afforded the ambient-pressure superconducting state. But Kikuchi et al. (1987) have synthesized superconductors with the unsymmetrical donor DMET (3), which is half (1) and half (2). We have modified (1) to give the unsymmetrical donors PEDTTTF (4), [4,5-b]-PEDTTTF (5) and DMPEDTTTF (6) but they did not afford the superconducting state (Psycharis, Hountas, Terzis & Papavassiliou, 1988; Terzis, Psycharis, Hountas & Papavassiliou, 1988). We have recently synthesized new unsymmetrical donors, EDTTTF MDTTTF (8) (Papavassiliou, (7), Zambounis, Mousdis, Gionis & Yiannopoulos, 1988) and EDTDSDTF (9) (Papavassilou, Mousdis, Yiannopoulos, Kakoussis & Zambounis, 1988), and in this and the following two reports we present the structures of their salts with I_3^- , IBr_2^- , AuI_2^- and AuBr₂⁻. At least one of these, $(8)_2$ AuI₂ (Hountas et al., 1990; Papavassiliou, Mousdis, Zambounis et al., 1988) and possibly two more, $(7)_2IBr_2$ and $(9)_2IBr_2$, are superconductors (Terzis, Hountas, et al., 1988).

In this report we present the structures of the salts $(7)I_3$ and $(8)I_3$.



Experimental. The title compounds were prepared by electrocrystallization of the donor $(1.55 \times 10^{-3}M)$ and Bu₄NI₃ (5 × 10⁻³M) in CH₂Cl₂, using platinum electrodes, at $1 \mu A \text{ cm}^{-2}$ and 295 K. The crystals used for data collection were an elongated flat prism $0.08 \times 0.13 \times 0.32$ mm (I) and a flat prism $0.10 \times 0.013 \times 0.013 \times 0.013 \times 0.0013$ 0.23×0.29 mm (II). D_m by flotation in $CHCI_3/C_2H_2Br_4$. Intensity data collected on a Syntex $P2_1$ computer-controlled diffractometer with Mo $K\overline{\alpha}$ Nb-filtered radiation. Cell parameters from 15 intermediate 2θ reflections. $\theta - 2\theta$ scan, $2\theta \le 48.0^\circ$, scan speed variable $2-20^{\circ}$ min⁻¹, scan range 1.6° (I), 1.8° (II) (2 θ) plus $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2$ divergence, background counting 0.5 of scan time. Data collected/unique reflections/ R_{int} 2900/2604/0.021 (I) and 2979/2462/ 0.013 (II). Range of $hkl - 17 \rightarrow 0, 0 \rightarrow 11, -12 \rightarrow 13$ (I), $0 \rightarrow 16$, $-10 \rightarrow 0$, $-13 \rightarrow 13$ (II). Three reflections monitored periodically showed <3.0% intensity fluctuation and no crystal decay. Lp and analytical absorption corrections applied $[T_{\min}/T_{\max} 0.43]$ 0.63 (I), 0.26/0.56 (II)] with SHELX76 (Sheldrick, 1976). The structure of (II) was solved by direct methods with SHELX86 (Sheldrick, 1986). Although a group of three strong peaks in the E map indicated the position of an I_3^- ion with acceptable I—I distances and I—I—I angle close to 180°, the structure would not refine. It was assumed that the origin was misplaced and shifted to space group P1. The E map revealed the positions of three I_3^- ions.

After two structure-factor and Fourier-map calculations the positions of all the I_3^- ions were revealed and the positions of the symmetry elements were apparent. At this point we applied an appropriate shift vector to the positions of all the atoms and moved back to space group $P2_1/c$. Refinement then proceeded normally. In the case of (I) the coordinates of (II) were used as the starting model. There is disorder in (I) at the ethylene group C(7)—C(8) and in (II) at the methylene group C(7). The ethylene disorder is evident in many ET-based structures (Terzis, Physcharis et al., 1988). The difference map shows two positions, A and B, for the ethylene and methylene groups. The site occupancies for these two positions were refined keeping the sum of the two constant at 1. They refined to 0.496(5) (I) and 0.703(5) (II) for position A. In subsequent refinements they were kept constant at 0.5 and 0.7, respectively. H-atom positions for the disordered groups were calculated at 1.08 Å and were not refined. H(1) and H(2) were located from the difference map and refined isotropically.

Full-matrix refinement based on F with SHELX76 minimizing $\sum w\Delta^2$, $w = 1/(\sigma^2 + 0.00025|F_o|^2)$ from counting statistics. Number of refined parameters 184 (I) and 166 (II). wR = 0.0426 (I) and 0.0383 (II) for observed data. R/wR = 0.0606/0.0465 (I) and 0.0421/0.0425 (II) for all data. $\Delta \rho_{max}/\Delta \rho_{min} = 1.061/$

Table 1. Positional and equivalent isotropic thermal Table 2. Bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) of the cations parameters (\times 10⁴) of the non-H atoms with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

		-				(1)	(11)
	U _{eo} =	$= (U_{11} + U_{22} + U_{23})$	J ₃₃)/3.		I(1)—I(2)	2.901 (1)	2-924 (1
	-4		557		I(3)—I(4)	2.927 (1)	2.939 (1
	x	у	Z	$U_{eq}(Å^2)$	C(1) = C(2)	1.34 (1)	1.33 (1)
(I)					C(1) = S(1)	1.724 (9)	1.721 (6
I (1)	0	. 0	0	515	C(2) - S(2)	1.718 (9)	1.712 (6
I(2)	1978-3 (4)	- 46.0 (7)	371.3 (7)	686	S(1) - C(3)	1.719 (8)	1.721 (6
I(3)	5000	5000	5000	1037	S(2) - C(3)	1.717 (8)	1.716 (6
I(4)	4896.7 (5)	3471 (1)	7056-7 (8)	1119	C(3) - C(4)	1.40 (1)	1.397 (8
SOD	1382 (1)	3442 (3)	1670 (2)	551	C(4) = S(3)	1.712 (8)	1.728 (5
S(2)	1379 (1)	6460 (3)	1686 (2)	563	C(4) - S(4)	1.715 (8)	1.721 (6
S(3)	-834(1)	3448 (2)	1211 (2)	471	S(3)-C(5)	1.743 (7)	1.716 (5
S(4)	-825(1)	6460 (2)	1233 (2)	450	S(4)-C(6)	1.744 (7)	1.721 (6
SCD	-2854(1)	3165 (2)	754 (2)	574	C(5) - C(6)	1.34 (1)	1.359 (8
SIG	-2827(1)	6807 (2)	711 (2)	558	C(5) - S(5)	1.739 (8)	1.743 (6
C	2438 (6)	4260 (10)	1926 (7)	551	C(6)—S(6)	1.744 (8)	1.740 (6
C(2)	2431 (6)	5640 (10)	1948 (8)	569	$S(5) \rightarrow C(7A)$	1.74 (3)	1.807 (9
cà	755 (5)	4949 (8)	1570 (6)	381	S(6) - C(7A)		1.83 (1)
C(4)	-202(5)	4949 (8)	1360 (6)	360	S(6) - C(8A)	1.79 (2)	
cò	- 1902 (5)	4258 (9)	087 (6)	356	C(7A) - C(8A)	1.49 (3)	
ció	-1897(5)	5652 (9)	987 (7)	305	S(5) - C(7B)	1.86 (2)	1.76 (2)
$\vec{C}(7A)$	- 3690 (20)	4290 (20)	930 (20)	604	S(6) - C(7B)		1.75 (2)
C(8A)	-3800(10)	5640 (20)	290 (10)	450	S(6) - C(8B)	1.83 (3)	
C(7B)	-3540(10)	4440 (20)	1270 (20)	649	$C(7B) \rightarrow C(8B)$	1.34 (3)	
C(8B)	- 3780 (20)	5620 (30)	640 (20)	799			
-(/	()	0010 (00)	040 (20)		C(2) - C(1) - S(1)	116-3 (7)	116.9 (5)
(II)					C(1) - C(2) - S(2)	117-9 (7)	117.7 (5)
Ì(1)	0	0	0	393	C(1) = S(1) = C(3)	95.4 (4)	95.1 (3)
l(2)	2020.9 (3)	16.8 (5)	64.8 (4)	499	C(2) - S(2) - C(3)	94.9 (4)	95-1 (3)
I(3)	5000	5000	5000	762	S(1) = C(3) = S(2)	115-4 (4)	115-1 (3)
1(4)	4885-2 (4)	3056.7 (7)	6878-8 (6)	809	S(1) - C(3) - C(4)	122.5 (6)	122.6 (4)
S(1)	1431 (1)	3414 (2)	1527 (1)	408	S(2) - C(3) - C(4)	122-1 (6)	122-3 (4)
S(2)	1436 (1)	6515 (2)	1602 (1)	390	C(3) - C(4) - S(3)	122-5 (6)	121.8 (4)
S(3)	- 845 (1)	3391 (2)	1249 (1)	332	C(3) - C(4) - S(4)	122-1 (6)	121.9 (4)
S(4)	-831 (1)	6523 (2)	1340 (1)	340	S(3) - C(4) - S(4)	115-5 (4)	116-3 (3)
S(5)	- 3003 (1)	3352 (2)	985 (2)	451	C(4) = S(3) = C(5)	95.9 (4)	94.6 (3)
S(6)	- 2989 (1)	6550 (2)	1076 (2)	451	C(4) - S(4) - C(6)	95.6 (4)	94.6 (3)
C(1)	2515 (4)	4262 (8)	1709 (5)	416	S(3) - C(3) - C(6)	116-3 (6)	117-2 (4)
C(2)	2511 (4)	5680 (8)	1749 (5)	444	S(3) - C(3) - S(3)	116-2 (5)	124.9 (4)
C(3)	788 (4)	4963 (6)	1503 (4)	273	S(4) = C(6) = C(5)	110.8 (6)	117.2 (4)
C(4)	- 194 (4)	4962 (6)	1390 (4)	268	S(4) - C(0) - S(0)	113-8 (5)	124.6 (4)
C(5)	- 1910 (4)	4224 (6)	1178 (4)	292	C(0) - C(0) - S(0)	127.5 (6)	117.8 (4)
C(6)	- 1905 (4)	5676 (6)	1220 (4)	296	C(5) - C(6) - S(6)	129-3 (6)	118-1 (4)
C(7A)	- 3537 (7)	4920 (10)	1423 (9)	449	C(3) - S(3) - C(7A)	102-4 (8)	92.3 (3)
C(7B)	- 3650 (10)	4970 (20)	710 (20)	362	C(6) - S(6) - C(8A)	101-3 (7)	
	. ,				S(3) - C(7A) - C(8A)	116 (2)	
					S(0) - C(8A) - C(7A)	118 (1)	
				C(0) - S(0) - C(7A)		91.8 (3)	
-0.977 (I) and $0.818/-1.168$ (II) e Å ⁻³ . All 'large'					S(3) - U(A) - S(6)	051(7)	110-7 (5)
neaks in the final difference man are around I atoms					C(3) = S(3) = C(1B)	95-1 (/)	92-1 (6)
pears	in the inial di	nerence map	are around	i atoms.	$C(0) \rightarrow C(0) \rightarrow C(0)$	101.2 (9)	
$ \Delta \sigma _{m}$	$_{nax} = 0.112$ (I)	and 0.171 (II). $S = 2.46$	6 (I) and		117 (2)	
2.12	(\mathbf{II}) Atomic	conttamin -	Footors from	June Inder	C(5) = C(3B) = C(7B)	117 (2)	02.2 (0)
5 12 (11). Atomic scattering factors from inter-					S(5) - C(7B) - S(6)		92°2 (0)
		/ (,)	1 1 /10				

S3 **S1 S**5 C5 C7 C1 C4 C3 **C**8 C2 C6 S4 S2 **S6**

Fig. 1. Atom numbering for (I). For (II) substitute the ethylene C(7)—C(8) group by a methylene C(7).

comprises 'sheets' of donors separated by the anions. The novelty in the present structures is that one of the independent I_3^- ions plays the 'normal' role of separating the 'sheets' of donors while the second $I_3^$ is embedded in these 'sheets', with its axis parallel to the long axis of the donor (Fig. 3). The terminal I

national Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). The final atomic parameters of the non-H atoms are given in Table 1,* bond lengths and angles in Table 2. The atom-numbering scheme is shown in Fig. 1.

Discussion. There is excellent agreement (usually within one e.s.d.) in the bond distances and angles of the common moieties of the two donor molecules, EDTTTF (I) and MDTTTF (II). The structures have two independent I_3^- ions each occupying a center of symmetry (Fig. 2). In most of the conducting salts of donors like ET, PEDTTTF or TMTSF with linear anions like I_3^- , IBr_2^- , AuI_2^- and $AuBr_2^-$ the packing

^{*} Lists of observed and calculated structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters of the non-H atoms and atomic and thermal parameters of the H atoms have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 52258 (24 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

atom of the embedded anion, I(2)I(1)I(2)', has only one I···H contact less than 3·4 Å while the terminal I atom of the other anion I(4)I(3)I(4)' has four such contacts. This is perhaps the reason for I(3)—I(4)[2·927 (1) (I), 2·939 (1) (II) Å] being longer than I(1)—I(2) [2·901 (1) (I), 2·924 (1) (II) Å].

We cannot properly speak of stacks of donors in this structure because of the embedded I_3 ions. We can, however, speak of dimers of donors with very good (<3.6 Å) S...S intradimer and interdimer con-



Fig. 2. Stereoview of the packing in (I).



Fig. 3. Stereoview of the 'corrugated sheet' network of short (< 3.6 Å) interstack S...S contacts with the embedded I_3^- ions. For clarity, only the S atoms of EDTTTF are shown. The coordinates of (1) have been used.



Fig. 4. S...S contacts (<3.6 Å) for (I); those for (II) are in parentheses.

tacts (Figs. 3 and 4), which form a sheet network of donors (Fig. 3) reminiscent of the 'corrugated sheet' network observed in β -(ET)₂I₃-type superconductors (Williams & Carneiro, 1986). The intradimer packing is of the α mode (donor molecules stack directly onto each other with a displacement along the long molecular axis) and 'interstack' packing of the L mode (Fig. 3) (Wang et al, 1986), which is also reminiscent of β -(ET)₂I₃-type superconductors. Salts like the present ones with 1:1 compositions are, however, generally expected to be insulators. The variation of conductivity (σ) of these salts with respect to T was measured (Terzis, Hountas et al., 1988). They behave as semiconductors below room temperature (RT), they have low $\sigma_{\rm RT}$ ($\approx 2 \times 10^{-3}$ Ω^{-1} cm⁻¹), but they show a clear tendency towards a temperature-independent conductivity above RT. It is possible that the composition is not exactly 1:1 but rather (DONOR) I_{3-x} (x being close to zero). One is tempted to suggest that, taking into account the αL mode of packing the donor-sheet networks and the strong S···S contacts, if we could increase xby some means the conductivity would increase markedly.

References

- HOUNTAS, A., TERZIS, A., PAPAVASSILIOU, G. C., HILTI, B., BURKLE, M., MEYER, C. W. & ZAMBOUNIS, J. (1990). Acta Cryst. C46, 228–231.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Vol. IV. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.)
- ISHIGURO, T. (1988). Physica C, pp. 153-155, 1055-1061.
- KIKUCHI, K., MURATA, K., HONDA, Y., NAMIKI, T., SAITO, K., ANZAI, H., KOBAYASHI, K., ISHIGURO, T. & IKEMOTO, I. (1987). J. Phys. Soc. Jpn, 56, 4241–4244.
- PAPAVASSILIOU, G. C., MOUSDIS, G. A., YIANNOPOULOS, S. Y., KAKOUSSIS, V. C. & ZAMBOUNIS, J. S. (1988). Synth. Met. 27, 373–378.
- PAPAVASSILIOU, G. C., MOUSDIS, G. A., ZAMBOUNIS, J. S., TERZIS, A., HOUNTAS, A., HILTI B., MAYER, C. W. & PFEIFFER, J. (1988). Synth. Met. 27, 379–383.
- PAPAVASSILOU, G. C., ZAMBOUNIS, J. S., MOUSDIS, G. A., GIONIS, V. & YIANNOPOULOS, S. Y. (1988). *Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst.* 156, 269–276.
- PSYCHARIS, V., HOUNTAS, A., TERZIS, A. & PAPAVASSILIOU, G. C. (1988). Acta Cryst. C44, 125–128.
- SHELDRICK, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for crystal structure determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- SHELDRICK, G. M. (1986). SHELXS86. Program for the automatic solution of crystal structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Federal Republic of Germany.
- TERZIS, A., HOUNTAS, A., UNDERHILL, A. E., CLARK, A., KAYE, B., HILTI, B., MAYER, C., PFEIFFER, J., YIANNOPOULOS, S. Y., MOUSDIS, G. & PAPAVASSILIOU, G. C. (1988). Synth. Met. 27, 97–102.
- TERZIS, A., PSYCHARIS, V., HOUNTAS, A. & PAPAVASSILIOU, G. C. (1988). Acta Cryst. C44, 128-132.
- WANG, H. H., BENO, M. A., WHANGBO, M. H., EMGE, T. J., GEISER, U., CARLSON, K. D., VENTURINI, E. L. & WILLIAMS, J. M. (1986). Isr. J. Chem. 27, 309–317.
- WILLIAMS, J. M. & CARNEIRO, K. (1986). Adv. Inorg. Chem. Radiochem. 29, 249–296.